## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ROBIN DIGGS, :

Plaintiff, :

:

v. : CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-cv-04827-JLS

:

BUCKS COUNTY, et al.,

Defendants. :

## **ORDER**

AND NOW, this 29<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2025, upon consideration of Plaintiff Robin Diggs's Amended Complaint (ECF No. 29), it is **ORDERED** that:

- 1. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to **AMEND** the docket to reflect that the following Defendants are listed in the Amended Complaint <sup>1</sup>:
  - a. Bucks County Prison;
  - b. B.C.C.F. Investigator Robert McLeod;
  - c. B.C.C.F. Investigator Daniel Onisick
  - d. B.C.C.F. Officer John Doe #1
  - e. Officer John Doe #2
  - f. Officer John Doe #3
  - g. Officer John Doe #4
  - h. Officer John Doe #5
  - i. Officer John Doe #6

<sup>1</sup> The Clerk of Court is directed to terminate from the docket the Defendants not listed in paragraph one, i.e. (1) Warden of B.C.C.F.; (2) Lt. John Doe #1; (3) Sgt. Cruz; (4) Nurse Kristen (PrimeCare Nurse of B.C.C.F.); (5) Nurse Katie (PrimeCare Nurse of B.C.C.F.); and (6) Dr. Gessner (Doctor of PrimeCare at B.C.C.F.).

- j. Officer John Doe #7
- k. Officer Cancel
- 1. Officer William F.
- m. Officer Hickmon
- n. Officer Hernandez
- o. Sgt. John Doe #1
- p. Sgt. John Doe #2
- q. Sgt. John Doe #3
- r. Sgt. John Doe #4
- s. Sgt. John Doe #5
- t. Sgt. John Doe #6
- u. Sgt. John Doe #7
- v. PrimeCare Medical Inc.
- w. PrimeCare Medical Inc. Nurse Jane Doe #1
- x. PrimeCare Medical Inc. Nurse Jane Doe #2
- y. PrimeCare Medical Inc. Nurse Jane Doe #3
- z. David Kratz, B.C.C.F. Director
- aa. Daniel Naftulin, Detective
- bb. Robert Gorman, Detective
- cc. Carl Metellus, Warden
- dd. James Coyne, Assistant Director
- ee. Kelly Reed, Deputy Warden
- ff. Jeff Cantino, Deputy Warden
- gg. Captain Nottingham

Filed 05/29/25

- 2. The claims against Bucks County Prison are **DISMISSED** with prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).<sup>2</sup> The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to **TERMINATE** Bucks County Prison as a Defendant in this case.
- 3. The remaining claims shall proceed at this time to service by the U.S. Marshal Service, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(c)(3), against the following Defendants:
  - a. B.C.C.F. Investigator Robert McLeod;
  - b. B.C.C.F. Investigator Daniel Onisick;
  - c. B.C.C.F. Officer Cancel;
  - d. B.C.C.F. Officer William F.;
  - e. B.C.C.F. Officer Hickmon;
  - f. B.C.C.F. Officer Hernandez;
  - g. PrimeCare Medical Inc.;
  - h. B.C.C.F. Director David Kratz;
  - i. Bucks County District Attorney's Office Detective Daniel Naftulin;
  - j. Bucks County District Attorney's Office Detective Robert Gorman;
  - k. Warden Carl Metellus;
  - 1. B.C.C.F. Assistant Director James Coyne;
  - m. B.C.C.F. Deputy Warden Kelley Reed;
  - n. B.C.C.F. Deputy Warden Jeff Cantino;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The § 1983 claims against Bucks County Prison are dismissed as frivolous because a prison is not a "person" under Section 1983. *Cephas v. George W. Hill Corr. Facility*, No. 09-6014, 2010 WL 2854149, at \*1 (E.D. Pa. July 20, 2010); *Miller v. Curran-Fromhold Corr. Facility*, No. 13-7680, 2014 WL 4055846, at \*2 (E.D. Pa. Aug. 13, 2014) (citing *Mitchell v. Chester Cnty. Farms Prison*, 426 F. Supp. 271 (E.D. Pa. 1976).

- o. B.C.C.F. Captain Nottingham
- 4. Service cannot be made on the numerous unidentified Defendants unless or until Diggs provides sufficient identifying information to allow for service.<sup>3</sup> It is Diggs's responsibility to notify the Court of the Defendant(s) identities if/when that information is obtained.
- 5. In anticipation of service by the U.S. Marshal Service, the Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to send a copy of this Order to Diggs together with one copy of the U.S. Marshal Service of Process Receipt and Return Form USM-285 ("USM-285 Form") for each Defendant listed in paragraph three (3) of this Order. The Clerk of Court is further **DIRECTED** to note the mailing on the docket.
- 6. To proceed with service, Diggs must complete a USM-285 Form for each Defendant listed in paragraph three (3) and return the completed form(s) to the Clerk's Office within **twenty-one (21) days** of the date of this Order. Service cannot be made by the U.S. Marshal Service until Diggs completes and returns these forms.
  - 7. In completing the USM-285 Form(s), Diggs is instructed as follows:
    - a. Diggs should complete a separate USM-285 Form for each Defendant listed in paragraph three (3) of this Order. Only one Defendant's name should appear on each USM-285 Form.

4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Unidentified Defendants listed in the Complaint are: (1) B.C.C.F. Officer John Doe #1; (2) Officer John Doe #2; (3) Officer John Doe #3; (4) Officer John Doe #4; (5) Officer John Doe #5; (6) Officer John Doe #6; (7) Officer John Doe #7; (8) Sgt. John Doe #1; (9) SGt. John Doe #2; (10) Sgt. John Doe #3; (11) Sgt. John Doe #4; (12) Sgt. John Doe #5; (13) Sgt. John Doe #6; (14) Sgt. John Doe #7; (15) PrimeCare Medical Inc. Nurse Jane Doe #1; (16) PrimeCare Medical Inc. Nurse Jane Doe #3.

- b. Diggs shall not complete a USM-285 Form for any individual or entity that is not listed as a Defendant in paragraph three (3) of this Order, including but not limited to any Defendant who already has been dismissed from this case.
- c. Diggs should include as much identifying information as possible for each Defendant, including the Defendant's first name, last name, and, where relevant, the Defendant's badge number.
- d. Diggs must provide each Defendant's complete address at a location where that Defendant can be served. The U.S. Marshals Service cannot serve a Defendant at a P.O. Box address. It is Diggs's responsibility, and not the duty of the Court, the Clerk's Office, or the Marshals Service, to ascertain the addresses of the Defendants. *See, e.g., Meade v. Reynolds*, 810 F. App'x 86, 88 (3d Cir. 2020) (*per curiam*) ("[T]he plaintiff must provide the district court with sufficient information to enable the Marshals Service to effectuate service of process." (citing *Lee v. Armontrout*, 991 F.2d 487, 489 (8th Cir. 1993)); *Harris v. McMullen*, 609 F. App'x 704, 707 (3d Cir. 2015) (*per curiam*) ("Harris has not pointed to any authority instructing that a District Court or the USMS must engage in extraordinary measures to assist an [*in forma pauperis*] litigant in locating a defendant's address for the purpose of service of process, and we are not aware of any.").
- e. **Diggs must sign the USM-285 Forms** on the signature block marked "Signature of Attorney other Originator requesting service on behalf of: PLAINTIFF", and provide the date the form was signed.
- f. Failure to include a proper address may result in the Defendant not being served and/or the dismissal of Diggs's claims against any such Defendant.

- 8. Diggs is cautioned that failure to return the completed USM-285 Forms in accordance with the above instructions may result in dismissal of this case for failure to prosecute without further notice from the Court.
- 9. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to docket any USM-285 Forms that Diggs returns in this case.
- 10. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** not to issue summonses at this time. The Court will direct issuance of summonses upon receipt of properly completed USM-285 Forms.

**BY THE COURT:** 

/s/ Jeffrey L. Schmehl

JEFFREY L. SCHMEHL, J.